

SPECIAL REPORTS

Low Carbon Intensity Ethylene

Table of Contents

A Report by **NexantECA, the Energy and Chemical Advisory company**

Published Date: August 2022

www.nexanteca.com/subscriptions-and-reports

Contents

1	Executive Summary	1
1.1	Overview.....	1
1.2	Technology Analysis	1
1.2.1	Ethylene Decarbonization Activity of Major Players.....	2
1.2.2	Renewable Feedstocks for Steam Cracking	4
1.2.3	Renewable Methanol and MTO	5
1.2.4	Ethanol to Ethylene	7
1.3	Carbon Intensity Analysis.....	7
1.4	Economic Analysis	12
1.4.1	United States	14
1.4.2	China	15
1.4.3	Brazil.....	16
1.4.4	Western Europe	17
1.5	Strategic Analysis.....	18
1.5.1	High Level Insights	18
1.5.2	Carbon Reduction Scenario Break-Even Values	18
1.6	Conclusions	24
1.6.1	Cracker Decarbonization.....	24
1.6.2	MTO.....	25
1.6.3	Ethanol to Ethylene	25
2	Introduction.....	26
2.1	Overview.....	26
2.2	The Current Push: Sustainability.....	26
2.2.1	The Big Emitters.....	27
2.2.2	Plastics: Key Enablers of Sustainability	27
2.3	Options for Low Carbon Ethylene Production	27
2.4	Conventional Ethylene Overview	29
2.4.1	The Ethylene Value Chain	30
2.4.2	Ethylene End Uses.....	31

3	Technology Analysis	32
3.1	Overview.....	32
3.2	Steam Cracking.....	33
3.2.1	Chemistry	33
3.2.2	Process Overview	35
3.2.3	Cracking Furnaces	39
3.2.4	Steam Cracker Feedstocks.....	41
3.2.5	Steam Cracking Renewable Naphthas	42
3.2.6	Renewable Naphtha Production	45
3.3	Electrification	61
3.3.1	Sustainable Industry Development	61
3.3.2	Electric Crackers	62
3.3.3	Electrification Technologies by Project/Licensor(s)	65
3.3.4	Powering the Cracker.....	84
3.3.1	Electric Heating for Other Technologies	106
3.4	MTO.....	110
3.4.1	MTO Process Description	111
3.4.2	Methanol Synthesis.....	111
3.4.3	Methanol to Olefins	118
3.5	Ethanol to Ethylene	121
3.5.1	Bioethanol to Ethylene	121
3.5.2	Generations of Ethanol.....	129
3.6	Carbon Capture.....	144
3.6.1	Carbon Capture Overview.....	144
3.6.2	Carbon Capture by Gas Absorption	145
3.7	Other Emerging Technologies for Fossil Feedstocks	156
3.8	Other Developmental Routes to Green Ethylene.....	163
3.8.1	Direct Fermentation.....	163
3.8.2	Plants as Plants.....	163
3.8.3	CO ₂ to Ethylene.....	163
4	Carbon Intensity Analysis.....	165
4.1	Methodology	165
4.1.1	Generic Nature of This Analysis.....	165
4.1.2	Carbon Intensity Methodology	165
4.2	Results.....	172
4.2.1	Overview	172
4.2.2	Regional Comparison Cases	177
4.2.3	Regional Overviews	179
4.2.4	Carbon Intensities by Technology	207
5	Economic Analysis	222
5.1	Methodology	222
5.1.1	Sources	222

5.1.2	Costing Basis	222
5.1.3	Capital Cost Elements.....	222
5.1.4	Operating Cost Elements	226
5.1.5	Base Cases	229
5.1.6	Electric Crackers	232
5.1.7	Conventional Technologies with Carbon Capture.....	234
5.2	Overview.....	234
5.2.1	Prices	234
5.3	Comparative Economics	237
5.3.1	United States	237
5.3.2	China	243
5.3.3	Brazil.....	247
5.3.4	Western Europe	253
5.4	Cost of Production Models	258
5.4.1	Base Crackers	259
5.4.2	eCrackers	264
5.4.3	CCS Crackers	269
5.4.4	MTO.....	273
5.4.5	Ethanol to Ethylene	275
5.5	Conclusions	277
6	Strategic Analysis	279
6.1	Strategic Insights	279
6.1.1	High Level Insights	279
6.2	Carbon Intensity Reduction Value Scenarios.....	284
6.2.1	Emissions Baselines	284
6.2.2	Scope 1 Emissions.....	288
6.2.3	Scope 1 + 2 Emissions (Process Emissions).....	290
6.2.4	Scope 1 + 2 + 3 Emissions (Value Chain Emissions).....	294

Appendices

A	References	298
---	------------------	-----

Figures

Figure 1	Primary Routes to Conventional Low Carbon Intensity Ethylene.....	2
Figure 2	Typical HEFA Process Block Flow	4
Figure 3	FT Process Overview	5
Figure 4	Primary Bio-based Routes to Methanol.....	6
Figure 5	Ethanol to Ethylene Block Flow Diagram	7
Figure 6	Global Ethylene Carbon Intensity Comparison	9
Figure 7	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	10
Figure 8	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	11
Figure 9	Ethylene Direct Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	12
Figure 10	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, United States.....	14
Figure 11	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, United States	14
Figure 12	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, United States	14
Figure 13	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, China	15
Figure 14	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, China.....	15
Figure 15	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, China	15
Figure 16	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, Brazil	16
Figure 17	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, Brazil	16
Figure 18	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, Brazil.....	16
Figure 19	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, Western Europe	17
Figure 20	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, Western Europe	17
Figure 21	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, Western Europe.....	18
Figure 22	Annual Global Emission for the Chemical Industry	27
Figure 23	Raw Material Feeds for Ethylene	30
Figure 24	Ethylene Derivatives	31
Figure 25	Primary Routes to Conventional Low Carbon Intensity Ethylene.....	32
Figure 26	Steam Cracking Process Overview	36
Figure 27	NGL Cracking (Cracking and Compression) Simplified Process Flow Diagram	37
Figure 28	NGL Cracking (Fractionation and Recovery) Simplified Process Flow Diagram	38
Figure 29	Typical Cracking Furnace Process Flow	40
Figure 30	Typical Dual Radiant Cell Cracking Furnace Design	40
Figure 31	Typical HEFA Process Block Flow	45
Figure 32	Overall HEFA Chemistry	46
Figure 33	UOP Ecofining HVO Process Flow Diagram.....	47
Figure 34	FT Process Overview	48
Figure 35	Bio-TCat™ Process	50
Figure 36	Pyrolysis Schematic	51
Figure 37	Plastics to Liquid Fuel Recycling Process	53
Figure 38	BIC Simplified Process	55
Figure 39	HC-HEFA SPK Production Process	56
Figure 40	REACH Technology Flow Diagram	57
Figure 41	Simplified SBI Process	58

Figure 42	BASF and Linde's Electrically Heatable Reactor Process Flow Diagram	66
Figure 43	Proposed Electrical Heating/Cooling Methods for Steam Cracking Processes	68
Figure 44	Linde Roadmap to Net Zero CO ₂ Emissions in Olefin Plants.....	70
Figure 45	Linde's Customized Toolbox to Net Zero CO ₂ Olefin Plants	71
Figure 46	Schematic Diagram of Shell Electric Furnace	72
Figure 47	Simplified Schematic of TOYO e-Furnace™	73
Figure 48	Representation of Coolbrook's RDR	75
Figure 49	Scaled Representation of RDR in a Cracking Plant.....	76
Figure 50	Energy Transformation Profile along RDR's Three-Blade Row Configuration	76
Figure 51	Schematic Diagram of RDR	78
Figure 52	Global Annual Renewable Generation Capacity Growth	86
Figure 53	Typical Large-Scale Solar PV, Wind and BESS System Design and Integration	87
Figure 54	Global Annual Growth of Installed Renewable Power Capacity	87
Figure 55	Profile of Load Demand with Impact of Storage	91
Figure 56	Integration of Renewable Power Supply with BESS "Across-the-Meter"	92
Figure 57	Battery Energy Storage Systems – FTM and BTM	92
Figure 58	Variety of BESS End-Use Applications	93
Figure 59	Solar PV Energy Shifting Use Case	94
Figure 60	Solar PV Firming Use Case.....	94
Figure 61	Solar PV Ramp Management Use Case	95
Figure 62	Synthetic Inertia and Fast Frequency Response Use Case	95
Figure 63	Power and Energy Requirements for End-Use Cases	97
Figure 64	Role of Policies, Regulations, and Markets in Enabling Energy Storage	98
Figure 65	ERCOT System Needs and Scenarios	100
Figure 66	Broad Cost Metrics for Renewable Power	101
Figure 67	Regional Weighted-average LCOE of Offshore Wind, 2010 and 2020	103
Figure 68	FTM Power Grid-Related Technical Issues.....	106
Figure 69	Traditional Reactor versus Electrified Reactor	108
Figure 70	Simplified Schematic of Biogreen® Reactor	109
Figure 71	Methanol to Olefins Process.....	111
Figure 72	Primary Bio-based Routes to Methanol.....	114
Figure 73	BioMCN Bio-Methanol Process	115
Figure 74	Diagram of Enerkem's MSW to Biofuel Process	116
Figure 75	Chemrec's Entrained Flow Gasification Reactor.....	116
Figure 76	CRI's Process for the Production of Renewable Methanol	117
Figure 77	UOP Advanced MTO Process: Reaction and Olefins Recovery	120
Figure 78	Bio-Ethanol to Green Ethylene: Conceptual Process Flow	121
Figure 79	Braskem Ethanol Dehydration Process.....	123
Figure 80	Ethylene from Ethanol	125
Figure 81	Comparison of Hummingbird and Conventional Ethanol-to-Ethylene Catalysts	126
Figure 82	TechnipFMC Hummingbird® Technology Simplified Block Flow Diagram	127
Figure 83	TechnipFMC Hummingbird® Technology Process Diagram	127
Figure 84	KBR K-SEET™ Process Blow Flow Diagram.....	128

Figure 85	Global Corn Production, 2019	130
Figure 86	Wet Milling versus Dry Milling Process Flow	131
Figure 87	Flow Diagram of Cane Milling Process for Ethanol and Sugar Production	135
Figure 88	Block Flow Diagram of Ethanol Production from Sugarcane	136
Figure 89	SUNLIQUID® Process of Clariant.....	137
Figure 90	LanzaTech Block Flow Diagram.....	141
Figure 91	LanzaTech Reactor System	142
Figure 92	Amine-based Carbon Capture.....	147
Figure 93	Carbon Capture Integrated with Ethylene Production	149
Figure 94	Axens DMX™ Process	151
Figure 95	Carbonate Looping Process Schematic	155
Figure 96	Linde EDHOX™ Process Block Flow Diagram	157
Figure 97	EDHOX™ Zero Emission Configuration.....	157
Figure 98	Clariant ODH Catalyst	158
Figure 99	Siluria's OCM Process Simplified Block Flow Diagram.....	161
Figure 100	Siluria's OCM Reactor Design.....	162
Figure 101	Definitions of Scope Emissions	165
Figure 102	Process Carbon Balance.....	166
Figure 103	Equation for Raw Material Emissions.....	167
Figure 104	Carbon Intensity of Ethylene Raw Materials	168
Figure 105	Impact of Byproducts on Carbon Intensity	169
Figure 106	Equation for Utility Emissions	170
Figure 107	Global Ethylene Carbon Intensity Comparison	173
Figure 108	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison by Region: Naphtha Cracking, Coal-Based MTO, and 1G Ethanol to Ethylene.....	177
Figure 109	Ethylene Process Carbon Intensity Comparison by Region: Naphtha Cracking, Coal-Based MTO, and 1G Ethanol to Ethylene.....	178
Figure 110	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	179
Figure 111	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	181
Figure 112	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	184
Figure 113	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, Western Europe	186
Figure 114	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, Western Europe	188
Figure 115	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, Western Europe.....	191
Figure 116	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, Brazil	193
Figure 117	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, Brazil	195
Figure 118	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, Brazil.....	198
Figure 119	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, China.....	200
Figure 120	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, China	202
Figure 121	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, China	205
Figure 122	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, United States	207
Figure 123	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Western Europe.....	208

Figure 124	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Brazil.....	209
Figure 125	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, China	209
Figure 126	Carbon intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, United States	210
Figure 127	Carbon intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Western Europe.....	211
Figure 128	Carbon intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Brazil.....	211
Figure 129	Carbon Intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, China	212
Figure 130	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, United States.....	213
Figure 131	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, Western Europe.....	213
Figure 132	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, Brazil	214
Figure 133	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, China.....	215
Figure 134	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, United States	216
Figure 135	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Western Europe	217
Figure 136	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Brazil.....	218
Figure 137	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, China	218
Figure 138	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, United States.....	219
Figure 139	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Western Europe	220
Figure 140	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Brazil	220
Figure 141	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies	221
Figure 142	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, United States.....	238
Figure 143	Renewable Naphtha Cracking Sensitivity to Vegetable Oil Price, United States	239
Figure 144	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, United States	240
Figure 145	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, United States	241
Figure 146	1G Ethanol to Ethylene Sensitivity to Ethanol Price, United States	242
Figure 147	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, China	244
Figure 148	Renewable Naphtha Cracking Sensitivity to Vegetable Oil Price, China	244
Figure 149	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, China.....	245
Figure 150	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, China	246
Figure 151	1G Ethanol to Ethylene Sensitivity to Ethanol Price, China	247
Figure 152	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, Brazil	248
Figure 153	Renewable Naphtha Cracking Sensitivity to Vegetable Oil Price, Brazil	249
Figure 154	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, Brazil	251
Figure 155	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, Brazil.....	252
Figure 156	1G Ethanol to Ethylene Sensitivity to Ethanol Price, Brazil.....	252
Figure 157	Comparative Economics for Cracker Cases, Western Europe	254
Figure 158	Renewable Naphtha Cracking Sensitivity to Vegetable Oil Price, Western Europe	254
Figure 159	Comparative Economics for MTO Cases, Western Europe	256

Figure 160	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, Western Europe.....	257
Figure 161	1G Ethanol to Ethylene Sensitivity to Ethanol Price, Western Europe.....	257
Figure 162	United States Ethylene Supply by Feedstock	284
Figure 163	China Ethylene Supply by Feedstock.....	285
Figure 164	Brazil Ethylene Supply by Feedstock	286
Figure 165	Western Europe Ethylene Supply by Feedstock	287

Tables

Table 1	Steam Cracking Decarbonization Technology Developers	3
Table 2	Scope 1 Emissions Carbon Value Breakeven for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	19
Table 3	Scope 1 Emissions Carbon Value Breakeven for MTO Decarbonization Cases	20
Table 4	Scope 1 Emissions Carbon Value Breakeven for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases	20
Table 5	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Carbon Value Breakeven for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	21
Table 6	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Carbon Value Breakeven for MTO Decarbonization Cases	21
Table 7	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Carbon Value Breakeven for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases.....	22
Table 8	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Carbon Value Breakeven for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	23
Table 9	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Carbon Value Breakeven for MTO Decarbonization Cases	23
Table 10	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Carbon Value Breakeven for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases.....	24
Table 11	Licensors and Technology Holders for Steam Cracking Routes to Ethylene	33
Table 12	Overall Cracking Yields at Moderate Severity	44
Table 13	Plastic Feedstock Type and Pyrolysis Product Type	51
Table 14	Pyrolysis of Mixed Plastics Waste Technology Developers	54
Table 18	Steam Cracking Decarbonization Technology Developers	61
Table 16	Strengths and Opportunities of Electric Crackers	62
Table 15	Typical Industrial Applications and Their Respective Temperature Ranges.....	63
Table 17	Key Configurational Differences Between Conventional Steam Cracker and Electric Cracker	64
Table 19	Pyrolysis Reaction Yield Comparison Between Coolbrook Turbo-reactor and Conventional Furnaces.....	79
Table 20	Technical Features and Process Condition Settings of the Model Turbo-reactor.....	79
Table 21	Total Steam Cracking Capacity in Europe by COF Consortium	80
Table 22	Process Conditions of the Shockwave Reactor	81
Table 23	Definition of Electric Heating Methods and Application in Feed Preheating	81
Table 24	Electrical Hazards.....	84
Table 25	Description of Power Generation in Terms of Modes of Duty and Service	89
Table 26	Influencing Technical Factors and Recommended Mitigation Measures	105
Table 27	Temperature Range and Yield of Biogreen®	109
Table 28	Licensors and Technology Holders for MTO Technology	110
Table 29	Renewable Methanol Existing Commercial Scale Capacities	114
Table 30	Commercial Amine-Based Absorption Process	148
Table 31	Gas Adsorption Processes for Post-Combustion CO ₂ Capture	152
Table 32	Membrane Separation Processes for Post-Combustion CO ₂ Capture	154
Table 33	Carbon Intensity of Steam Cracker Raw Materials	168
Table 34	Carbon Intensity of Methanol Raw Materials.....	168

Table 35	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol Raw Materials	168
Table 36	Impact of Byproducts on Carbon Intensity	170
Table 37	Utility Emission Factors for all Regions	171
Table 38	Grid Energy Mix across all Regions	171
Table 39	Global Ethylene Carbon Intensity Comparison	174
Table 40	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison by Region: Naphtha Cracking, Coal-Based MTO, and 1G Ethanol to Ethylene.....	177
Table 41	Ethylene Process Carbon Intensity Comparison by Region: Naphtha Cracking, Coal-Based MTO, and 1G Ethanol to Ethylene.....	178
Table 42	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	180
Table 43	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	182
Table 44	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, United States	185
Table 45	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, Western Europe	187
Table 46	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, Western Europe	189
Table 47	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, Western Europe.....	192
Table 48	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, Brazil	194
Table 49	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, Brazil	196
Table 50	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, Brazil.....	199
Table 51	Ethylene Value Chain Carbon Intensity Comparison, China.....	201
Table 52	Ethylene Process Emissions Carbon Intensity Comparison, China.....	203
Table 53	Ethylene Direct Emission Carbon Intensity Comparison, China	206
Table 54	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, United States	208
Table 55	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Western Europe.....	208
Table 56	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Brazil.....	209
Table 57	Carbon Intensity of Ethane-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, China	209
Table 58	Carbon intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, United States	210
Table 59	Carbon intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Western Europe.....	211
Table 60	Carbon intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, Brazil.....	212
Table 61	Carbon Intensity of Naphtha-based Ethylene, with and without CCS and eCracking, China	212
Table 62	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, United States	213
Table 63	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, Western Europe.....	214
Table 64	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, Brazil	214
Table 65	Carbon Intensity of Electrified Renewable Naphtha Cracking and eCracking, China.....	215
Table 66	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, United States	217
Table 67	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Western Europe.....	217

Table 68	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Brazil	218
Table 69	Carbon Intensity of Methanol-based Ethylene Technologies, China	219
Table 70	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, United States	219
Table 71	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Western Europe	220
Table 72	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, Brazil	221
Table 73	Carbon Intensity of Ethanol-based Ethylene Technologies, China	221
Table 74	Key Assumptions in Developing and Estimating COPs for Electric Crackers	233
Table 75	Assumed Renewable Power Prices (Onshore Wind)	234
Table 76	Raw Materials Prices	235
Table 77	Byproducts Prices	236
Table 78	Utility Prices and Manpower Costs	236
Table 79	Comparative Economics for Base Cracker Cases, United States	237
Table 80	Comparative Economics for Electrified Cracker Cases, United States	237
Table 81	Comparative Economics for Base MTO Cases, United States	239
Table 82	Comparative Economics for CCS MTO Cases, United States	240
Table 83	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, United States	241
Table 84	Comparative Economics for Base Cracker Cases, China	243
Table 85	Comparative Economics for Electrified Cracker Cases, China	243
Table 86	Comparative Economics for Base MTO Cases, China	245
Table 87	Comparative Economics for CCS MTO Cases, China	245
Table 88	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, China	246
Table 89	Comparative Economics for Base Cracker Cases, Brazil	248
Table 90	Comparative Economics for Electrified Cracker Cases, Brazil	248
Table 91	Comparative Economics for Base MTO Cases, Brazil	250
Table 92	Comparative Economics for CCS MTO Cases, Brazil	250
Table 93	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, Brazil	251
Table 94	Comparative Economics for Base Cracker Cases, Western Europe	253
Table 95	Comparative Economics for Electrified Cracker Cases, Western Europe	253
Table 96	Comparative Economics for Base MTO Cases, Western Europe	255
Table 97	Comparative Economics for CCS MTO Cases, Western Europe	255
Table 98	Comparative Economics for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases, Western Europe	256
Table 99	Cost of Production Model for Ethane Cracking to Ethylene in the United States	259
Table 100	Cost of Production Model for Naphtha Cracking to Ethylene in the United States	260
Table 101	Cost of Production Model for HVO Naphtha Cracking to Ethylene in the United States	261
Table 102	Cost of Production Model for FT Naphtha Cracking to Ethylene in the United States	262
Table 103	Cost of Production Model for Plastic Naphtha Cracking to Ethylene in the United States	263
Table 104	Cost of Production Model for Ethane eCracking to Ethylene in the United States	264
Table 105	Cost of Production Model for Naphtha eCracking to Ethylene in the United States	265
Table 106	Cost of Production Model for HVO Naphtha eCracking to Ethylene in the United States	266

Table 107	Cost of Production Model for FT Naphtha eCracking to Ethylene in the United States	267
Table 108	Cost of Production Model for Plastic Naphtha eCracking to Ethylene in the United States	268
Table 109	Cost of Production Model for Ethane Cracking to Ethylene with CCS in the United States	269
Table 110	Cost of Production Model for Naphtha Cracking to Ethylene with CCS in the United States	270
Table 111	Cost of Production Model for Ethane eCracking to Ethylene with CCS in the United States	271
Table 112	Cost of Production Model for Naphtha eCracking to Ethylene with CCS in the United States	272
Table 113	Cost of Production Model for MTO to Ethylene in the United States	273
Table 114	Cost of Production Model for MTO to Ethylene with CCS in the United States	274
Table 115	Cost of Production Model for Ethanol to Ethylene in the United States	275
Table 116	Cost of Production Model for Ethanol to Ethylene with CCS in the United States	276
Table 117	SWOT Analysis of Carbon Capture for Ethylene Production	279
Table 118	SWOT Analysis of Electrification for Ethylene Production	280
Table 119	SWOT Analysis of Renewable Methanol for Ethylene Production	281
Table 120	SWOT Analysis of Renewable Naphthas for Ethylene Production	282
Table 121	SWOT Analysis of Ethanol as a Feedstock for Ethylene Production	283
Table 122	United States Baseline Ethylene Carbon Intensity	284
Table 123	China Baseline Ethylene Carbon Intensity	285
Table 124	Brazil Baseline Ethylene Carbon Intensity	286
Table 125	Western Europe Baseline Ethylene Carbon Intensity	287
Table 126	Scope 1 Emissions Reductions for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	288
Table 127	Scope 1 Emissions Reductions for MTO Decarbonization Cases	288
Table 128	Scope 1 Emissions Reductions for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases	289
Table 129	Scope 1 Emissions Carbon Value Breakeven for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	289
Table 130	Scope 1 Emissions Carbon Value Breakeven for MTO Decarbonization Cases	290
Table 131	Scope 1 Emissions Carbon Value Breakeven for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases	290
Table 132	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Reductions for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	291
Table 133	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Reductions for MTO Decarbonization Cases	291
Table 134	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Reductions for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases	291
Table 135	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Carbon Value Breakeven for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	292
Table 136	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Carbon Value Breakeven for MTO Decarbonization Cases	292
Table 137	Process Emissions (Scope 1+2) Carbon Value Breakeven for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases	293
Table 138	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Reductions for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	294
Table 139	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Reductions for MTO Decarbonization Cases	294
Table 140	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Reductions for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases	295

Table 141	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Carbon Value Breakeven for Cracker Decarbonization Cases	295
Table 142	Value Chain Emissions (Scope 1+2+3) Carbon Value Breakeven for MTO Decarbonization Cases	296
Table 143	Value Chain Emissions (scope 1+2+3) Carbon Value Breakeven for Ethanol to Ethylene Cases.....	296



SPECIAL REPORTS

The NexantECA Subscriptions' program is recognized globally as the industry standard source for information relevant to the chemical process and refining industries. Reports are available as a subscription program or on a single report basis.

Contact Details:

Americas:

Marcos Nogueira Cesar, Vice President, Global Subscriptions and Reports
Phone: +1-914-609-0324, e-mail: mcesar@NexantECA.com

Erica Hill, Client Services Coordinator, Subscriptions and Reports
Phone: +1-914-609-0386, e-mail: ehill@NexantECA.com

EMEA:

Anna Ibbotson, Vice President, Sales and Marketing
Phone: +44-207-950-1528, aibbotson@NexantECA.com

Asia:

Chommanad Thammanayakatip, Managing Consultant
Phone: +66-2793-4606, email: chommanadt@NexantECA.com

NexantECA Subscriptions and Reports provide clients with comprehensive analytics, forecasts and insights for the chemicals, polymers, energy and cleantech industries. Using a combination of business and technical expertise, with deep and broad understanding of markets, technologies and economics, NexantECA provides solutions that our clients have relied upon for over 50 years.

Copyright © 2000-2021 NexantECA (BVI) Limited. All rights reserved